



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,022	09/23/2005	Hyun-Kyo Kim	2743-0174PUS1	3847

2292 7590 05/01/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

SCHATZ, CHRISTOPHER T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1791

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

05/01/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/550,022	Applicant(s) KIM, HYUN-KYO	
	Examiner CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ	Art Unit 1791	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6 and 7 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/26/09</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

1. The rejections set for in sections 4-6 of the previous office action have been withdrawn in light of the applicant's amendment to the claims.

The rejections set for in sections 7-13 have been withdrawn in light of the applicant's arguments presented in the "Remarks" section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being obvious over Niira et al. (US 5556699, previously cited) in view of Kanari et al. (JP 2000178452, newly cited).

As to claims 1, 2 and 9, Niira discloses a method comprising processing a part using a silver-based antibiotic substance, comprising the steps of: forming a preform the part to have a thickness relatively smaller than that of a finished product of the part through an extrusion process (column 5, lines 1-15); mixing the silver-based antibiotic substance (column 2, lines 54-59) in the form of powder and/or pellets (column 4, lines 18-24) with a resin; and forming an antibiotic layer on a surface of the preform of the part using the resin with the antibiotic substance mixed therewith (column 5, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 1791

It is noted that Niira's method is capable of processing a part of refrigerator. Niira is silent as to specific weight percent of the silver based substance that is mixed with the resin.

Kanari discloses a method of processing a part that can comprise part of a refrigerator (section 30), said method comprising mixing 0.05 to 0.1 wt. % of a silver based antibiotic substance with a resin based on the total weight of the resin (thermoplastic resin) and forming an antibiotic layer comprising the substance mixed with the resin (See Derwent abstract, machine translation section 21). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Niira such that 0.05 to 0.1 wt. % of the silver based antibiotic substance is mixed with the resin based on the weight of the resin as doing such provides the resin with favorable and long lasting antibiotic properties (machine translation, section 5 and 21).

As to claim 2, Niira discloses the antibiotic layer being formed by laminating a film made of the resin with antibiotic substance mixed therewith.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reese in view of Niira.

Reese discloses a method for processing a part of a refrigerator (column 7, line 56-61) comprising the steps of: forming a preform 11 of the part to have a thickness relatively smaller than that of a finished product of the part through an extrusion process (figure 1) and forming a layer 16 comprising resin on a surface of the preform of the part

Art Unit: 1791

(column 2, lines 16-61) The reference is silent as to a method wherein the layer is formed by mixing 0.05% to 0.1% by weight of a silver based antibiotic substance in the form of pellets with a resin and forming an antibiotic layer on a surface of the preform of the part using the resin with the antibiotic substance mixed therewith. Kanari discloses a method as discussed above. The advantage of forming an antibiotic layer on a part is that said layer gives the part antibiotic properties. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Reese by forming an antibiotic layer taught by Kanari onto the preform of the part of Reese.

As to claim 3, Reese teaches a method wherein the antibiotic layer is formed on the surface of the part through multi-extrusion (figure 1).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niira and Kanari applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Myers (2002/0185199).

Niira and Kanari disclose the limitations with respect to claim 1 as discussed above, and Niira further discloses a method wherein the silver-based substance comprises an oxide of an Ag ion and a zinc oxide (Reference Example). The references are silent however, as to the presence of zirconium phosphate and applicants claimed weight percent composition. Myers discloses a method of mixing a silver based antibiotic substance with a resin, and forming a layer comprising the mixed substance on the surface of a part. The reference further discloses that it known in the art for said silver based substance to further contain zinc oxide and zirconium phosphate (paragraphs 66, 78, 92, 22, claim 1). The presence zirconium phosphate further

Art Unit: 1791

enhances the antibiotic properties of the mixture. Although Myers is explicitly silent as to applicants claimed composition, the examiner asserts one of ordinary skill in the art would have achieved applicant's claimed substance composition by performing routine experimentation. Absent any unexpected results presented by the applicant, the claimed composition does not patentably distinguish the instantly claimed method from the prior art. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Niira as modified by Kanari such that the silver based substance contains zirconium phosphate as taught by Myers. Additionally, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a silver based substance comprising applicant's claimed composition, as achieving such a composition is well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ whose telephone number is 571-272-6038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1791

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ/
Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Richard Crispino/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791